1 (Case called)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FINKEL: Good morning, your Honor, Ryan Finkel and Daniel Nessim for the government. We are joined today as counsel table by Special Agent Lisa Vega from the FBI.

THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Finkel, Mr. Nessim, and Agent Vega.

MR. STERN: Good morning, Judge, David Stern for Mr. Williams.

Mr. Williams means no disrespect by not rising. He's in a wheelchair.

THE COURT: Understood.

Good morning, Mr. Stern. Good morning, Mr. Williams.

THE DEFENDANT: Good morning.

THE COURT: You can take your mask off when you're speaking.

Mr. Williams, you and I are going to be the ones that are mostly speaking.

Mr. Stern, am I correct that your client wishes to plead guilty?

MR. STERN: You are correct, yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Williams, your attorney has told me that you wish to plead guilty. Before that can happen, I need to ask you some questions so I can be sure that you are pleading guilty because you are guilty and not for any other reason and that you fully understand the rights that you are

3 M80464121-cr-00603-VEC Document 523 Filed 09/13/22 Page 3 of 29 1 giving up and the consequences of your plea. 2 Ms. Caliendo, could you please swear in Mr. Williams. 3 (Defendant sworn) 4 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Would you state your full name for 5 the record and spell your last name. THE DEFENDANT: Terrence Williams, W-i-l-l-i-a-m-s. 6 7 THE COURT: Mr. Williams, you can take your mask off 8 if you feel comfortable doing so. 9 Mr. Williams, you are now under oath. What that means 10 is that if you answer any of my questions falsely, the 11 government can use your answers against you in a prosecution 12 for perjury or for making a false statement. Do you understand 13 that? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 15 THE COURT: How old are you? 16 Thirty-five. THE DEFENDANT: 17 THE COURT: Where were you born? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Seattle, Washington. 19 How far did you go in school? THE COURT: 20 THE DEFENDANT: Four years college. 21 THE COURT: Are you able to read and understand English? 22 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 24 THE COURT: Are you now or have you recently been

under the care of a doctor or a psychiatrist?

M80464121-cr-00603-VEC Document 523 Filed 09/13/22 Page 5 of 29 1 representation of you? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Up to now, yes. 3 THE COURT: Up to now? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 4 5 THE COURT: So far so good? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 6 7 THE COURT: Does either attorney have any doubt about 8 the defendant's competence to enter a quilty plea at this time? 9 MR. FINKEL: No, your Honor. 10 MR. STERN: I do not. 11 THE COURT: On the basis of the defendant's responses 12 to my questions and my observations of his demeanor, I find 13 that he's fully competent to enter an informed guilty plea at 14 this time. 15 Mr. Williams, before I accept your guilty plea, I am 16 going to describe to you the rights that you have that you will 17 be giving up if you plead guilty. Please listen carefully. 18 you don't understand any of my questions or if you just need an 19 opportunity to talk to your attorney, tell me that and I'll 20 stop and give you an opportunity to talk to Mr. Stern. OK? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 22 THE COURT: Your attorney has said that you wish to

THE COURT: Your attorney has said that you wish to plead guilty. You have the right to plead not guilty and to persist in that plea. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

23

24

THE COURT: You have the right to be represented by an attorney at trial and at every other stage of the proceedings.

If you cannot afford an attorney, an attorney will be appointed to represent you without cost to you. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You have the right to a speedy and public trial by a jury on the charges against you which are contained in the indictment. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If you went to trial, you would be presumed innocent and the government would be required to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that you are guilty. You would not have to prove that you are innocent at trial. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If you went to trial, you would have the right to see and hear all of the witnesses and your attorney could cross-examine the witnesses that the government calls.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If you went to trial, your attorney could object to the government's evidence. You would also have the right to present evidence and the right to compel witnesses to come to court to testify in your behalf. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: If you went to trial, you would have the right to testify if you wanted to, but you could not be forced to testify if you did not want to. If you chose not to testify, I would tell the jury they could not hold that against you. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, the jury would be composed of 12 people and all 12 would have to agree that the government had proven you guilty beyond a reasonable doubt before they could find you guilty. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If you were convicted at trial, you would have the right to appeal the verdict. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.

THE COURT: If you plead guilty and I accept your plea, you will be giving up all of the rights that I just described, except your right to an attorney, and you will be found guilty just based on your plea of guilty. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: We have to go through a number of other things before I actually ask you how you plead and you tell me you are pleading guilty. Up until we do that, and you tell me you're guilty and I accept your plea, you can change your mind.

After I accept your plea, that's it, it's final. You don't get to take it back at that point. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Mr. Williams, have you received a copy of the indictment in this case that's numbered S3 21 CR 603?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Have you read the indictment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.

THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: You're offering to plead guilty to Count
One and Count Two of the indictment. Count One charges you
with a conspiracy to commit health care fraud and wire fraud
and Count Two charges you with aggravated identity theft.

Do you understand what you are being charged with?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Mr. Finkel, what are the elements of these two offenses?

MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor.

Count One, the elements of Count One are: First, the government would need to prove that two or more persons in some way or manner agreed to try to accomplish a common and unlawful plan to commit the fraud crime here, health care fraud and wire fraud; and, second, the defendant knew the unlawful purpose of the plan and willfully joined it.

The elements of health care fraud, one of the objects of Count One are: First, the defendant knowingly executed or attempted to execute a scheme or artifice to defraud a health care benefit program or to obtain money or property owned by or under the custody or control of the health care benefit program by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises; second, the false or fraud pretenses, representations, or promises related to a material fact; third, the defendant acted willfully and intended to defraud; and, fourth, the defendant did so in connection with the delivery of or payment for health care benefits, items, or services.

The elements of wire fraud, the second objective of Count One are: First, that the defendant devised a scheme to defraud or to obtain money or property by material false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, or willfully participated in a scheme with knowledge of its fraudulent nature; second, the defendant acted with intent to defraud; third, that in advancing, furthering or carrying out the scheme, the defendant transmitted any writing, signal or sound by means of a wire, radio, or television communication in interstate commerce or caused the transmission of any writing, signal or sound of some kind by means of wire or radio or television communication in interstate commerce; and, as to Count Two, the elements are: First, the defendant knowingly transferred, possessed, or used; second, without lawful

authority; third, means of identification of another person; and, fourth, during and in relation to a felony enumerated in a particular statute as charged in Count One. And, in addition, your Honor, the government would need venue by a preponderance of the evidence.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Finkel.

Mr. Williams, if you don't plead guilty, the government would have to prove all of the elements that the prosecutor just laid out beyond a reasonable doubt at trial. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: The maximum possible penalty for Count

One, which is the conspiracy count, is a term of imprisonment

of up to 20 years, a term of supervised release of up to three

years, a fine of up to \$250,000 or two times the pecuniary gain

from the crime or two times the pecuniary loss from the crime,

whichever one of those three numbers is the largest, and a

mandatory \$100 special assessment.

The maximum penalty for Count Two, which is aggravated identity theft, is a period of two years' imprisonment which must be consecutive to any other term of imprisonment, a term of supervised release of up to one year, again a fine of \$250,000 or two times the pecuniary gain from the crime or two times the pecuniary loss from the crime, whichever one of those numbers is the largest, and a mandatory \$100 special

assessment. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Supervised release means that you will be subject to monitoring and supervision after you are released from prison. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: There are terms and conditions of supervised release that you have to comply with. If you don't comply with those terms and conditions, you can be returned to prison without a jury trial. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: If you violate the terms and conditions of supervised release and you are sent back to prison, that new prison term can be for all or part of the term of supervised release. You will not necessarily get credit for time that you have already served on supervised release. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: As part of your sentence I can order you to pay restitution to any person or entity that was injured as a result of your criminal conduct. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: In fact, as part of your plea agreement you have agreed that you will pay restitution to the benefit plan in the amount of \$2.5 million. Do you understand that?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 2 THE COURT: You're pleading quilty to two different 3 counts in the indictment. Do you understand that? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 5 THE COURT: I have to impose a separate sentence on each count. Do you understand that? 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 8 THE COURT: In your case I have to run the sentences 9 consecutive. Do you understand that? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 11 THE COURT: So whatever your sentence is on Count One 12 and Count Two will run consecutive to each other. Do you 13 understand that? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 15 THE COURT: If I impose the maximum sentence on both 16 of the crimes, that would mean you would be facing a term of 17 imprisonment of 22 years. Do you understand that? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 19 THE COURT: If I accept your guilty plea and I adjudge 20 you guilty, that adjudication may deprive you of valuable civil 21 rights, such as the right to vote, the right to hold public 22 office, the right to serve on a jury, the right to possess any 23 type of a firearm, and the right to hold certain professional 24 licenses. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

1 THE COURT: Mr. Williams, there are sentencing 2 quidelines that I have to consider in determining the 3 appropriate sentence in your case. Do you understand that? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 5 THE COURT: Have you talked to your attorney about how the guidelines will apply in your case? 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: No. Yes, ma'am. 8 9 THE COURT: I am going to have to calculate the 10 quideline range and consider that range in determining what 11 your sentence will be. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 12 13 THE COURT: But in addition to determining what the 14 quideline range is, I also have to consider the sentencing factors that are set forth in federal law. Federal law 15 16 requires me to consider a number of additional factors, things 17 about you and about the offense, in addition to the guidelines, 18 in determining what your sentence should be. Do you understand 19 that? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 21 THE COURT: So even after I determine what the 22 guideline range is, these other factors could lead me to a

guideline range is, these other factors could lead me to a sentence that is either above or below the guideline range. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

23

24

THE COURT: If your attorney or anyone else has attempted to estimate or predict what your sentence will be, their estimate or prediction could be wrong. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: It's perfectly appropriate for you and

THE COURT: It's perfectly appropriate for you and your attorney to talk about how your sentence will be determined, but nobody can give you any assurance of what your sentence will actually be. It's my job to determine your sentence, and I can't do that until I have done the other things that I just described. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: So nobody, not even I, can today predict what your sentence will be. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Mr. Williams, I'm stressing this at some length because it's important for you to understand that if your sentence is different from what anyone has estimated or predicted it will be or what you hope it will be, that will not be a ground for you to withdraw your guilty plea. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: If you are sentenced to prison, there is no parole and therefore you cannot be released early on parole. Do you understand that?

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
2	THE COURT: I've been given a copy of the plea
3	agreement which we have marked as Court Exhibit 1. Did you
4	sign the plea agreement?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
6	THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
8	THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorney
9	before you signed it?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
11	THE COURT: Are there any agreements, promises or
12	understandings with the government that are not contained in
13	the plea agreement?
14	THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am.
15	THE COURT: Has anyone threatened or forced you to
16	plead guilty?
17	THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am.
18	THE COURT: You paused. I understand that you are in
19	a position where all choices are not good choices, right? Is
20	that where you find yourself right now?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sort of.
22	THE COURT: Has Mr. Stern talked you through
23	essentially what are your choices in this matter?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
25	THE COURT: You can go to trial, put the government to

its proof, or you can plead guilty to the deal that they have offered you. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

MR. STERN: Your Honor, I think he paused, and he will correct me if I'm wrong, because in discussions with the government, I was informed that they would potentially indict him for charges which were even more serious. He paused because he may consider that to be a threat. It's not legally a threat. It's a factual recitation of what might happen.

THE COURT: Understood.

So you understand that yet another factor that went into your analysis was whether if you decided to go to trial that the government might add additional charges to what you are already facing, correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. If I didn't sign the plea by a certain time, I would get a new charge, so I take the plea.

THE COURT: So you decided that that was in your best interests, is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: That was your decision, correct.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes?

THE COURT: Other than what's in the plea agreement, has anyone promised you anything or offered you any inducement to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: Has anyone made a promise to you of what your sentence will be?

THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am.

agreement is what's called a waiver of the statute of
limitations. What that waiver means is that if for some reason
at some point in the future your plea is withdrawn or your
conviction is vacated, the government would be allowed to
charge you at that point in time in the future with any crime
that he could charge you with today, notwithstanding the
passage of time. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Not really.

THE COURT: It's kind of crazy and it is highly unlikely to apply to you, but let me give it a shot.

Let's say that two years down the road there is a decision from the Supreme Court that says the government's theory on X, on what health care fraud was, on what aggravated identity theft is, is wrong. That might result in your conviction being vacated. You could say, wait a minute. Their theory was wrong. I didn't really commit a crime. Your conviction then gets vacated.

What the government can do at that point two years down the road is say, well, we are going to vacate that conviction, but we could have charged you with a new crime. So since we are vacating the conviction that is a result of your

plea today, we are going to charge you with another crime. Even if the statute of limitations had otherwise run on that crime, because of that provision of the plea agreement, they could charge you with that crime in the future. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Again, this comes up sometimes. It is very rare. It's a very rare occurrence. And it would only happen if your conviction was vacated in the future. Do you understand that now?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

agreement or a stipulation between you and the government regarding the sentencing guidelines calculation that your attorney and the assistants believe applies in your case. That's an agreement between you and the government. It's binding on you and it's binding on the government. So at the time of sentence you can't say, oh, I disagree with that guidelines sentencing, and they can't say they disagree with that guidelines calculation. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: It's binding on both of you, but it's not binding on me. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Um-hum.

THE COURT: I am going to do my own guidelines

calculation. 99.9 percent of the time I come up with the same thing as the parties do, but it's not always the same. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Another provision in your plea agreement is that you are agreeing not to appeal your sentence or to collaterally attack your sentence so long as I sentence you within or below the guideline range that you agree applies in your case. So in your case that means so long as your sentence is 145 months or less, you are agreeing not to appeal. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Mr. Williams, in order for me to accept your guilty plea, I have to be convinced that you actually committed these crimes. Can you tell me what you did that makes you guilty. If you are going to read something, I am going to ask you to read it slowly.

THE DEFENDANT: From 2017 to 2021, I agreed with others to commit health care fraud, health care wire fraud, by helping other NBA or ex-NBA players to submit false claims for treatment, most of which was not provided. As a part of this scheme I sent e-mails in which I pretended to be an employee of a health care company and used the name of that person to try to get others paid who I assisted or participated in the health care fraud.

1 I know what I did was both wrong and illegal, and I 2 regret what I did. 3 THE COURT: You said you were trying to get payment 4 for treatment that didn't occur. That would be like medical 5 treatment of some type or dental treatment? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 7 THE COURT: And you used the name of a real person 8 that wasn't yours when you were trying to accomplish this 9 scheme? 10 THE DEFENDANT: I made up a name. 11 THE COURT: You made up a name. 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. The person didn't exist. I 13 made up a name for a health care company. So, yeah. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Finkel, what's the aggravated identity 15 theft theory? 16 MR. FINKEL: Mr. Williams used a person's actual name 17 who was an employee of the administrative manager of the plan. 18 I don't want to announce the person's name in open court. 19 If I could just have a moment with Mr. Stern? 20 THE COURT: Yes. 21 MR. STERN: Judge, if I could have a minute? 22 THE COURT: Of course. 23 MR. STERN: Judge, I think his position, he doesn't 24 remember the actual person, but it was an actual person whose

name -- that is, he doesn't know the name as he sits here now.

MC2456 II: 21-cr-00603-VEC Document 523 Filed 09/13/22 Page 21 of 29 21 1 THE COURT: He doesn't remember the name. 2 MR. STERN: But he recognizes that was a real person. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Williams, is that accurate? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 5 THE COURT: I don't take your plea, Mr. Williams, if 6 you didn't actually -- I am not asking you whether you remember 7 as you sit here whose name you used. The question is, do you 8 agree and admit that you used the name of an actual person as 9 part of this scheme? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. I remember. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Finkel, I think you put on the record 12 before what the government's theory on venue is. But could you 13 put it on the record for Mr. Williams as well. 14 MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor. Among other things, one 15 of the defendant's coconspirators submitted and caused to be 16 submitted fraudulent claims to the plan from the Southern 17 District of New York. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Williams, when you did all of this, 19 when you participated in the scheme to get payment for medical 20 treatment that didn't happen and you sent e-mails to facilitate 21

and to make that happen, did you know that what you were doing was wrong and against the law?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Did anybody threaten or coerce or force you to do any of this?

1 THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am. 2 THE COURT: Does either attorney want me to make any 3 further inquiry? 4 Mr. Finkel. 5 MR. FINKEL: Your Honor, just one thing. I believe 6 the Court touched on it and covered it in some substance, but 7 given its importance, the government would request that the 8 Court confirm that Mr. Williams understands that by pleading 9 quilty to Count Two, the Court cannot sentence him to a term of imprisonment less than 24 months. That is, there is a 10 11 mandatory minimum here of 24 months. 12 THE COURT: Anything in terms of the allocution? 13 MR. FINKEL: No, your Honor. I thought you meant 14 overall. 15 THE COURT: That's OK. 16 Mr. Stern, do you know of any valid defense that would 17 prevail at trial or any reason why your client should not be 18 permitted to plead guilty? 19 MR. STERN: No, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Do you believe there is an adequate 21 factual basis on to support the plea? 22 MR. STERN: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Mr. Williams, let me just make sure that 24 you understand.

Count Two, which is the aggravated identity theft, the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

use of a real person's name as part and parcel of this crime, carries a mandatory term of 24 months in prison. So even if I gave you time served or no time on Count One, I have to impose a sentence of at least two years on Count Two. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Mr. Finkel, do you also believe there is an adequate factual basis for the plea? MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor, thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Williams, this is the point where I am about to ask you how you plead. If you want to change your mind, now is the time you have to do it. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Do you want to change your mind?

THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: How do you plead to Count One, guilty or not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

THE COURT: How do you plead to Count Two, which is the aggravated identity theft, guilty or not guilty?

> THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty voluntarily and of your own free will?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: You've also agreed the indictment contains a forfeiture allegation. Mr. Williams, under the forfeiture allegation, the government has alleged that you are required to forfeit to the government the proceeds of the crime and property that was used to commit or facilitate the crime. In your case your plea agreement provides that you are agreeing to forfeit the sum of \$653,672.55. Do you agree to forfeit that amount of money to the government?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.

THE COURT: I have the feeling that you are thinking I don't have that money, so, yes, sure I am going to forfeit it to the government. Is that kind of what your yeah meant?

THE DEFENDANT: No, not in that context.

THE COURT: You understand that means there is going to be a judgment against you for that amount of money.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: That plus the restitution. Those are two separate amounts. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: So you are going to be responsible for paying 2.5 million in restitution. Together with whoever else participated in the scheme, you are all jointly and severally liable, so it's not everybody's responsible for 2.5 million.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: That's a group for 2.5?

25 MC2456 II: 21-cr-00603-VEC Document 523 Filed 09/13/22 Page 25 of 29 1 THE COURT: Correct. 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 3 THE COURT: It's everybody. Everybody who gets 4 convicted of these crimes where we impose restitution will be 5 part of the pool of people that are paying off that amount. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 6 7 THE COURT: Once 2.5 is paid, that's it. 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 9 THE COURT: You may pay a little of it or a lot of it. 10 Other people may pay a little of it or a lot of it. 11 I find that there is an adequate factual basis for the 12 plea, that Mr. Williams understands the rights he is giving up 13 and is waiving those rights knowingly and voluntarily. 14 Mr. Williams understands the consequences of his plea, 15 including the potential sentence that may be imposed, and has 16 agreed to forfeit the proceeds of the crime. 17 Because I find the defendant's plea is entered 18 knowingly and voluntarily and is supported by an independent 19 factual basis for each and every element of the crimes charged, 20 I accept his guilty plea. 21 I direct that a presentence investigation be conducted 22 by the probation office and that a presentence report be

prepared.

Mr. Williams, you are going to be interviewed by the probation department as part of the presentence investigation

23

24

1 You can and you should have your lawyer with you for 2 that interview. If you decide to talk to probation, it's 3 important that what you say is truthful and complete. The 4 report the probation prepares is very important to me in 5 determining what an appropriate sentence is in your case. 6 it's important that I have complete and accurate information. 7 Probation is going to prepare a draft report which they will 8 provide to your attorney. He will provide it to you. Read it 9 carefully. If anything in it isn't correct, make sure you tell 10 Mr. Stern so he can tell probation so the report can be 11 corrected before the time of sentence. Both you and your 12 attorney will have an opportunity to speak on your behalf at 13 the time of sentence.

We are kicking sentence out a little bit further because Mr. Stern has another obligation. So the sentencing date is January 25 at 2:30. Your presentence submissions are due January 11. Those are 2023 dates.

Anything further, Mr. Finkel?

MR. FINKEL: No, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Anything further from the defense,

Mr. Stern?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STERN: Yes, ma'am, two things.

One is, if probation could, I appreciate it if the interview was done on a Friday, because that's the day I have off during trial and that way I could attend. I would rather

attend than ask someone else to attend on my behalf.

The other is, Mr. Williams is not getting adequate health care. He told you, and I know it's true, that he tore Achilles in jail. I am not saying that's anyone's fault. But he had surgery now I think about a month ago. He was supposed to have a follow-up visit with a doctor in two weeks. That has not taken place.

THE COURT: He was supposed to have it two weeks after the surgery.

MR. STERN: Correct.

THE COURT: So he's two weeks late.

MR. STERN: Right. He was told that the stitches were supposed to be out in that time or his skin would begin to grow over them. That has not been done. He was supposed to have his cast changed so he could have more ability to work on his muscles. That has not been done. He was supposed to be given something in the jail to elevate his leg. That has not been done. To the contrary, when he got an extra pillow, so he could put his leg on it, that was taken away from him. Also, he needs to shower on what they call a disabled chair. The chair is not itself disabled. It's for disabled people. The one they gave him had a broken leg. And when he sat down, he fell in the shower.

He has been reporting these things day after day. I have some of his e-mails but not all of them. He is doing

everything in the way he is expected to do it and getting no results. He even met with the warden and told the warden, I am not getting the treatment I need for my health care. And it really is not what we send people to jail for. He is supposed to be taken care of. I can't do anything about it. I am not sure if you can or the government can. But someone should do something to make sure that he gets the health care he needs.

THE COURT: Let me ask Mr. Finkel. I am going to ask you to talk to the prison and find out what the story is, both on his follow-up visit to the surgeon and to getting appropriate shower assistance, as well as something to elevate the leg. If you can get back to me next week, by Wednesday, I will also reach out to the prison, but I'm hoping the AUSA can perhaps get more information than I can.

MR. FINKEL: We will certainly do that, your Honor. As you know, there were issues with respect to Mr. Williams several months ago. The government was in contact with BOP about them. We had been in contact with the BOP about this. We will follow up with the Court and Mr. Stern.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. STERN: So I'll get a copy, I take it, of what has been found out?

THE COURT: Absolutely. Taking care of him -- MDC is having lots of problems right now. It doesn't shock me that he hasn't made it back to the surgeon. I take it the surgeon --

this was done out of the prison? MR. STERN: It was done outside, yes.

THE COURT: He is going to have to be taken out of the prison, and I know that that is always a problem because it requires an unusual amount of staff at a time when staff is short at the prison, so I understand their problems. On the other hand, he needs to get back to the surgeon.

MR. STERN: I can just try to take care of one guy at He is the one that I'm taking care of now, if I can. a time.

THE COURT: I totally understand. I'll see you all in January. Thank you.

(Adjourned)